**PATENT** 

First Named Inventor: Lily Li

Attorney Docket No.: 302375.02

Application No.: 09/915,096 Group Art Unit: 2157

Filed: 07–25–2001 Confirmation Number: 5562

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: Gold, Avi M. Title: Enhanced E-Mail - Distributed Attachment Storage

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 23-37, and 41-

45 renumbered as 1-29 in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The

Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the

claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants

expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any

comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not

reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a

claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully

enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates

the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of

the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the

previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants

specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner

regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the

errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the most recent Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Microsoft Corporation
Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

MS: 302375.02

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

By:<u>/James Haugen, Reg. # 60056/</u> James Haugen Registration Number: 60056

Direct telephone (425) 703-6636

Respectfully submitted,

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

Date: 2007-07-03\_

One Microsoft Way

Microsoft Corporation

Redmond WA 98052-6399

July 3, 2007	/Rimma N. Oks/
Date	Signature
	Rimma N. Oks
	Printed Name